

Online Supplement: Appendix A  
Items

<p><i>HPWS</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Training           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following topics are regularly communicated and trained in our store:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Customer orientation</li> <li>- Products and product portfolio</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>■ Information-sharing           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am regularly informed about the customer satisfaction level of our store</li> <li>- I am regularly informed about the sales volume of our store</li> <li>- I know the corporate goals of [employer]</li> </ul> </li> <li>■ Participation in decision-making           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In our store, employees have sufficient discretion and competence to react to customer requests rapidly and flexibly</li> <li>- When store-level decisions are being made, the opinions and views of the employees are taken into account</li> <li>- In our store, suggestions for improvement are encouraged and rewarded</li> </ul> </li> <li>■ Employment security           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- My job at [employer] is safe in the future</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><i>Job satisfaction</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ In total, how satisfied are you with [employer] as an employee?</li> </ul>
<p><i>Customer satisfaction</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ I am satisfied with the expert advice that I received</li> <li>■ Employees behave friendly towards me</li> <li>■ I can find a competent service employee quickly</li> </ul>
<p><i>Transformational leadership</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The store manager lives the firm's goals</li> <li>■ The store manager is a good example for how to show customer orientation</li> <li>■ The store manager appreciates my individual performance</li> </ul>

*Note.* Items were originally presented in German, and translated by the authors

## Online Supplement: Appendix B

## Model Fit Indices

	$\chi^2$ ( <i>df</i> )	$\Delta \chi^2$	CFI	TLI	SRMR	RMSEA
Model 1	1,610.76* (65)	911.04*	.83	.79	.06	.19
Model 2	1,146.40* (63)	446.68*	.88	.85	.05	.16
Model 3	699.72* (60)		.93	.91	.05	.12

*Note.* Model 1: 1-factor model

Model 2: 3-factor model, with unit-level job satisfaction, transformational leadership, and HPWS, with all HRM practices items loading on one HPWS factor

Model 3: 3-factor model, with unit-level job satisfaction, transformational leadership, and HPWS, with HRM practices loading on four HPWS subscales, which comprise HPWS as a second-order construct

*df*: degrees of freedom;  $\Delta \chi^2$ : model comparisons with Model 3; CFI: comparative fit index; TLI: Tucker-Lewis index; SRMR: standardized root mean residual; RMSEA: root mean squared error of approximation

\*:  $p < .01$

## Online Supplement: Appendix C

## Correlations of HPWS Top/Bottom Quartile Dummy

---

	HPWS top/bottom quartile dummy
Transformational leadership	-.05
HPWS	-.05
HPWS consensus	.09
Unit-level job satisfaction	.01
Job satisfaction dispersion	.06
Unit-level customer satisfaction	-.06
Expert status	.06

---

*Note.* Correlations  $\geq .07$  are significant at  $p < .05$ , two-tailed tests